

To: "Judith Enck" [Enck.Judith@epamail.epa.gov]
Cc: "George Pavlou" [Pavlou.George@epa.gov]; N=Bonnie Bellow/OU=R2/O=USEPA/C=US;"Mary Mears" [mears.mary@epa.gov]; Mary Mears" [mears.mary@epa.gov]; David King" [king.david@epa.gov]; Doug Garbarini" [Garbarini.Doug@epamail.epa.gov]; N=Benny Conetta/OU=R2/O=USEPA/C=US;"Douglas Fischer" [fischer.douglas@epa.gov]; Douglas Fischer" [fischer.douglas@epa.gov]
From: CN=Walter Mugdan/OU=R2/O=USEPA/C=US
Sent: Fri 8/13/2010 11:56:49 PM
Subject: Hudson Peer Review

Just wanted to let you know that we are quite pleased with the draft Peer Review report. It appears to be balanced and coherent and sensible. The peers reject outright one of GE's main arguments, which is that there should be a "hard cap" on the total mass of PCBs allowed to travel downstream as a consequence of dredging.

The report makes (as it should) specific, concrete proposals about how the standards should be revised. This will make it easier for us to evaluate their recommendations in a timely manner.

We will be reading it carefully this weekend.
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services